File	With				

SECTION 131 FORM

Appeal NO:_ABP_314485-22	Defer Re O/H
Having considered the contents of the submission dated received from Benedelle Laules and Klith I recommend that section 131 of the P Horizon be not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):. No ne	lanning and Development Act, 2000
E.O.: lat S Date:	17/04/2029
For further consideration by SEO/SAO	
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.	
Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply.	
S.E.O.: Date:	
S.A.O: Date:	
W	
Please prepare BP Section 131 notice enclosing a submission	copy of the attached
o: Task No:	
Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP	
EO: Date	:
AA: Date	;

	_	٠.	•
File With			

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

CORRESPOND	LIGHT OTTO				
Appeal No: ABP 314485-22					
M					
Please treat correspondence received on O1/04/2024 as follows:					
1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant					
	1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP				
	2. Keep Envelope:				
3. Keep copy of Board's Letter	3. Keep Copy of Board's letter				
	o. Neep dopy of Board's fellor				
Amendments/Comments Beradelle Lawless +	Kigh Hanlan response to S.131				
12/03/24:02/04/24/					
12103129 - 02109129					
4. Attach to file					
(a) R/S (d) Screening	RETURN TO EO				
(b) GIS Processing (e) Inspectorate					
(c) Processing					
	Plans Date Stamped				
	Date Stamped Filled in				
EO: Rot B	AA: Anthony Mc Nally				
Date: 17/04/2024	Date: 25/04/2024				

Fergal Ryan

From:

Bord

Sent:

02 April 2024 09:41

To:

Appeals2

Subject:

FW: Case nr ABP-314485-22

From: Bernie Lawless < lawlessbernie@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, April 1, 2024 7:00 PM

To: Bord

Subject: Case nr ABP-314485-22

Caution: This is an External Email and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Please see enclosed two page letter. Kind regards Bernie An Bord Pleanála 64 Mariborough St. Dubling 002 V902 Mabestown The Ward Co. Dublin 01/04/2024

RE: Case Number ABP- 314485-22 Relevant Action Application Dublin Airport

Dor Sir/Madam.

Further, o your correspondence tous on the above case we wish to make the following ob servations/submissions:

1. We are shockedo see that the noise conters havestended hugely into our community and that a very significant number obtwellings are now included within thenoise eligibility contours. Firstly, we note that there we no notice of thisfact in any of the planning notices for this application todate. Many of our neighburs who thought they were not affectedby this application are now inside these contourshut yet were never publicly notifiedantili they attended a public meeting heldby \$t Margarets / The Ward residents' group who explained this to allof us. None of the newspaper or sie notices informed the public. Secondlythe peoplewho now know they are within the contours have not been given the opportunity to make a submission/observation as they donot qualify because they did not make submission previouslyse theybought they were unaffected. An BordPleanala did not give a public notice of this significant additional information. The above's totally unacceptable and unjust to the communities affected.

2 We note that the correspondence from Phillips & Description of the ANCA Regulatory Decisionregarding elligibility to the noise insulation scheme and suggest that the change in contours is as a result of their assessing that the increased area as a result of them considering this new area which contains dwellings to having "very significant" effects. We note that the DAA have never carried out significant test criteria within any of the EIAR they have submitted and therefore they have not meanifist the EIA directive. This is a fundamental flaw in the assessments the EIA directives clear, all significant impact on environment must be identified, quantified and mitigation proposed. That has no happened to date. For a reas under the North Runway this involves comparing the scenario with no flights from the North Runway to a scenariowhere there will be night flights. This has not been done.

- 3. Tom Phillipprefers continuously tothe regulatory decision by ANCA in his correspondence However, what is not contained inhis correspondencebut is within the EIAR relatingto these noisecon tours that the proposal does NOT meet the Noise Abatement Objective of ANCA in future years. The proposed 2025 Scenario will fail the NAO when compared 2019 when the total of the existing population, permitted developments and zoned developments are summed together. "2025 exceeds 2019by 4,541 people (1533 v 6074).
- 4. Why have the noise contours grown. St Margarets The Ward residents carried out noise monitoring on the north runway flight path and found the noise levels to be far beyond those PREDICTED by DAA. Their noise predictions are not accurate and unfounded and they are trying to obtain permission by manipulating numbers. Why can they not submit actual noise results along the flight path which has been in operation since August 2022. The community could.

- 5. Reference is made to the noise zones on Fingal development plan. These noise tones must now be revised due to the proposed flight path over our area. Fingal County Council consider that there should be no residents! development allowed in noise zone A as it is considered harmful to health or otherwise considered unscreptable due to the high levils of airtraft noise. However, the flight path now being operated by DAA is putting many existing residences in Noise Zone A and 8 which is just not acceptable from a health point of view.

 6. The noise insulation grant as proposed is not fit for purpose and is totally insufficient to protect for night noise. Measurements of roise in bedrooms of housing already insulated indicate that the noise levels exceed the recommendation in Fingal Development Plan are not sufficient to protect human health.
- 7. I have logged numerous complaints with the DAA in relation to noise from planes taken off from the south runway in the middle of the night which have woken us up from our sleep and and just get the same reply that they are approved to fly this way. They have changed and made it much harder to lodge a complaint. When I try to submit more then one complaint it says wait much harder to lodge a complaint. When I try to submit solon, I have even tried 30mins later. Obseconds. I wait the 60 seconds and still won't accept submission, I have even tried 30mins later. This is a major flaw. Who can hang around and wait to submit at a later time. This never happened on the previous package.
- 8. I have meet with the DAA last year and was told I would be eligible for the insulation scheme if they were approved to fly from 6am tol 12am. I have an email also to back this up. How can they think I am not affected diffrom planes flying over my house from 7am at the moment. If this changes I think I am not affected diffrom planes flying over my house from 7am at the moment. If this changes I needly don't know how I would be able to cope as in stands I have an underlying health issue and it is really don't know how I would be able to cope as in stands I have an underlying health issue and it is constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the DAA. When I meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the meet with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the battle dealing with the part with two senior managers last year they both a constant battle dealing with the battle dealing with the senior with two senior ma
- In summary planning is an afterthought for DAA. Their actions show that they do not respect planning legislation or decisions of An Bord Pleanála. This application must be refused.

Sign: Bernie Lauless Date: 1.4.2024

Address: Hascotown, The Wand, Co Dulli DIICX98

Sent from my iPhone

